United States District Court

for the
Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America)	
v.)	40.00400
DEON MARTELL PITTMAN) Case No.	16-30439
Defendant)	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

IV B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the	
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defenda	nt
committed one or more of the following offenses: (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21	
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
\square (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year	rs
or more is prescribed;	
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term	of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,	
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4),	
2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☑ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
✓ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to reput the presumption above.	
OR	
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the	
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention	
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	
☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	э
the safety of any other person and the community.	
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	
the defendant's appearance as required.	
the defendant's appearance as required.	
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong	
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
☐ History of violence or use of weapons	
☐ Lack of stable employment	
☐ Lack of stable residence	
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties	
 Lack of significant community or family ties to this district 	

2:16-mj-30439-DUTY Doc # 10 Filed 10/03/16 Pg 3 of 3 Pg ID 23

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
The evidence indicates that Defendant sold significant quantities of heroin and crack cocaine between June 22, 2016 and August 26, 2016, as corroborated by a confidential informant, law enforcement surveillance and the recovery of government funds. Defedant wa on probation at the time of all these offenses. Defendant has mutiple drug distribution-related (and other) convictions and multiple

probation violations, going back to 2008.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	10/03/2016	s/Anthony P. Patti	s/Anthony P. Patti
		United States Magistrate Judge	Jnited States Magistrate Judge